Issue 05 February 24th 2015

Vive Charlie!



Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to

Charlie Hebdo



REST IN PEACE

Stephane Charbonnier
Jean Cabut
Bernard Verlhac
Georges Wolinski
Philippe Honore
Elsa Cayat
Bernard Maris
Michel Renaud
Mustapha Ourrad
Ahmed Merabet
Frederic Boisseau
Franck Brinsolaro

Vive Charlie!

PAGE 3 MODEL

Britain's Vilest Troll - Daily Mail. Award winning Columnist, Satirist, Libertarian legend. Champion of Free Speech and a Free Society.

Stop moaning, you voted for it.

I'm going to try to explain a little bit about politics to you, dear reader. Now I know you have the right to vote once every 5 years for someone who will supposedly represent you, your views and your interests, in our most "respected" of institutions, the House of Commons, but I'm asking you, just this once, to abstain.

No, I'm not about to advocate the end of democracy, or to insist that we can all live in an anarchistic absence of the State ruled by warlords and bandits, but I'm asking you to take a good, long, hard look at what "representative democracy" in the United Kingdom actually looks like in the 21st Century.

Apart from the hilarious pantomime of men in tights chasing those who refuse to take their hats off in the Royal Palace of Westminster (yes, it's true, the Metropolitan Police actually have no powers there, that's up to the Sergeant of Arms) and the ridiculous sight of grown men guarding little pots of snuff in the Commons (yes, also true), do we really need to be represented by anyone other than ourselves?

We suffer under a "first past the post" system of faux democracy allowing a near monopoly of two parties to dominate the legislature. With Party apparatchiks chosen via various dubious methods and sponsorship, either from industry or unions, to claim a mandate to quite literally rule over you, and every aspect of your miserable existence, simply because more people voted for them than anyone else. Naturally, this ends up in a situation where 70% of the population can vote against a party yet they'll happily win and smugly claim a right to govern and extract as much of your hard earned cash as they see fit in taxes, safe in the knowledge that they have a near dictatorship for the next 5 years.

Smaller parties (yes, including all you rabid 'UKIPpers') are stuck in an electoral wasteland, despite having secured perhaps millions of votes, whilst the two main parties can ignore any dissent and blindly implement whatever policies their external paymasters have demanded. As ever we end up with either a corrupt corporate state or one run for the benefit of Union paymasters. We refuse to acknowledge that any vote that didn't win a seat is nothing more than wasted.

Winner takes all, and all is so very, very much – it's every single thing you do, eat, say, earn and think – all waiting to be regulated, rationed, taxed, licensed, monitored or measured by the ever growing State behemoth at Westminster.

We could introduce proportional representation (50% of the votes meaning 50% of the seats in Parliament for example) and submit ourselves to perpetual coalition Government as smaller parties ally and compromise themselves to form voting blocks or, better still, we could take a long hard look at where democracy should be headed in this age of transparency and inter connectivity – Direct Democracy.

The Swiss have long held the view that power ultimately belongs to the people it is inflicted upon and yes, if you can get enough support, it's referendum after referendum to decide what the Government does on your behalf. The result is that the Swiss are the richest, healthiest, most democratic, most educated, most peaceful and lowest taxed people on the planet. No one can name their Prime Minister and the role of the vanity politician is extinct. If you have a problem, you can go and shout at your local mayor or raise a petition for a referendum yourself. Hence there are no minarets in Switzerland and no sneers from politicians at the "stupid electorate" for daring to go off message.

So until we see truly representative democracy in this shitty, corrupt little nation of ours, I won't be giving 650 idiots in Parliament any kind of mandate by voting for any of them at all. It won't stop them, of course, but as the East German Government found out, eventually no mandate means no real power once the people of a nation have decided their Government is no longer relevant.

At the very least, you can proudly hold your head high and state loudly, "I didn't vote for any of this shit, I'll have no part of it". Reclaim your right to be a free thinking individual by refusing to hand over power to anyone else to abuse on your behalf. Liberate yourself and those around you by having nothing to do with the corrupt and self interested hypocritical sycophants currently posing as your "elected representative" in The Palace of West**monster**. It's the 21st Century for Christ's sake.



Vive Charlie TV

Saudi cleric, Sheikh Bandar al-Khaibari, has appeared in a recent video rejecting the long-held theory that the Earth revolves around the Sun and claiming the opposite holds true. Could he be the first Muslim to get a Nobel prize in the sciences category?

Islam is renowned for its dislike of democracy, which probably explains why Muslims suck at it. A brawl that broke out in Turkey's parliament over a new security bill recently has raised suspicions that President Recep Tayyip Erdogan aims to hand himself more tools to crush dissent.

Hajj Saeed, Imam of the Al-Faruq Mosque in Copenhagen, rejected interfaith dialogue in a Friday sermon delivered on February 13, one day before the Copenhagen terror attacks. Imam Saeed explained that the Prophet Muhammad waged war against his Jewish neighbors in Al-Madina rather than engage in dialogue with them.

Robert Spencer: My Heart-to-Heart with Obama

Website: jihadwatch.org **Twitter:** @jihadwatchRS

My imaginary dialogue with the President, from PJ Media:

The world is on fire with Islamic jihad, and last Wednesday, after his Countering Violent Extremism summit, the President called me in to talk it over. Here is the dialogue we had:

OBAMA: Most recently, with the brutal murders in Chapel Hill of three young Muslim Americans, many Muslim Americans are worried and afraid.

SPENCER: You've apparently decided that the murder of three Muslims in Chapel Hill, North Carolina, on Tuesday was a hate crime. What is the evidence that it was an anti-Muslim hate crime? Well, the victims were Muslims, and the murderer was non-Muslim. And the father of two of the victims says he expressed opinions that he never told to his wife or put on his Facebook page, and that his wife says he did not hold — but which you and the Islamic supremacist establishment would have us believe he held so strongly that he ultimately killed for them. And his Facebook page does reflect that he was an atheist who hated all religions, especially Christianity. That's it. That's enough nowadays, plus the fact that you want this to be considered a hate crime, so as to further your case that Muslims are being victimized, which preoccupies you far more than non-Muslims who are being victimized by Muslims. I notice that you've said nothing about non-Muslims being worried and afraid over jihad terror attacks.

OBAMA: First, we have to confront squarely and honestly the twisted ideologies that these terrorist groups use to incite people to violence.

SPENCER: Confronting squarely and honestly the ideology of the Islamic State and al Qaeda is exactly what you seem determined not to do.

OBAMA: Al Qaeda and ISIL and groups like it are desperate for legitimacy. They try to portray themselves as religious leaders — holy warriors in defense of Islam. That's why ISIL presumes to declare itself the "Islamic State." And they propagate the notion that America — and the West, generally — is at war with Islam. That's how they recruit. That's how they try to radicalize young people. We must never accept the premise that they put forward, because it is a lie. Nor should we grant these terrorists the religious legitimacy that they seek. They are not religious leaders — they're terrorists. And we are not at war with Islam. We are at war with people who have perverted Islam.

SPENCER: What you're saying here reflects the argument that has been common and prevailing in Washington for years, that we must not call the Islamic jihadists "Islamic jihadists," because that will give them the legitimacy they're seeking among Muslims. The fallacy here is that you and others who hold to this view are assuming that Muslims care what non-Muslim leaders say about who is Islamic and who isn't. But given the fact that the Qur'an calls believers "the best of people" (3:110) and the unbelievers "the most vile of created beings" (98:6), that is unlikely in the extreme.

You say, "Nor should we grant these terrorists the religious legitimacy that they seek," but in reality this legitimacy is not within the power of any non-Muslim to grant — or to withhold. The Muslims who join al-Qaeda and the Islamic State are not listening to Western non-Muslim leaders; they're listening to their imams and reading the Qur'an. In all these years of non-Muslim leaders insisting that we must withhold "legitimacy" from these jihad groups, there has not been even one single report of a Muslim who was going to join a terror group until he heard Bush or David Cameron or Tony Blair or you say that those groups were not Islamic. And the insidious aspect of it is that this claim that calling the jihadists what they are gives them a spurious legitimacy is used to foreclose upon honest examination of their motives and goals.

And in saying, "we are not at war with Islam. We are at war with people who have perverted Islam," you're effectively saying,: We are not going to confront the jihadis' ideology. We are not going to examine the jihadis' motives and goals, and we're not going to call on Muslim communities to reject them. Instead, we're going to partner with other Muslims who share those motives and goals but aren't blowing anything up.

OBAMA: Of course, the terrorists do not speak for over a billion Muslims who reject their hateful ideology. They no more represent Islam than any madman who kills innocents in the name of God represents Christianity or Judaism or Buddhism or Hinduism. No religion is responsible for terrorism. People are responsible for violence and terrorism.

SPENCER: I notice that you don't give the names of any "madman who kills innocents in the name of God" who are Christian, Jewish, Buddhist or Hindu. With 25,000 jihad terror attacks committed in the name of Islam since 9/11, the naming of a single person or incident here or there would only point up the glaring disparity. And as for your claim that "no religion is responsible for terrorism," this is just begging the question: Does the Islamic religion encourage violence and supremacism? Is it even possible for any religion to do this? Why not? Why do you forbid examination of this question?

OBAMA: And to their credit, there are respected Muslim clerics and scholars not just here in the United States but around the world who push back on this twisted interpretation of their faith. They want to make very clear what Islam stands for. And we're joined by some of these leaders today. These religious leaders and scholars preach that Islam calls for peace and for justice, and tolerance toward others; that terrorism is prohibited; that the Koran says whoever kills an innocent, it is as if he has killed all mankind. Those are the voices that represent over a billion people around the world.

SPENCER: The attendees included Wajahat Ali, an Al Jazeera host with Muslim Brotherhood ties who was co-author of one of the "Islamophobia" smear pieces designed to discredit foes of jihad terror, and Nicole Mossalam, who "has been dishonest about her controversial mosque blocking congregants from giving police information during their investigation of the Boston Marathon bombing." You claim that there are "Muslim clerics and scholars not just here in the United States but around the world who push back on this twisted interpretation of their faith," yet there is not a single mosque or Islamic school anywhere in the United States or anywhere else that has a program to teach Muslims to reject the understanding of Islam presented by al-Qaeda and the Islamic State.

OBAMA: But if we are going to effectively isolate terrorists, if we're going to address the challenge of their efforts to recruit our young people, if we're going to lift up the voices of tolerance and pluralism within the Muslim community, then we've got to acknowledge that their job is made harder by a broader narrative that does exist in many Muslim communities around the world that suggests the West is at odds with Islam in some fashion.

SPENCER: Any resistance to jihad terror brings this charge. The only way you will be able to eradicate it will be to surrender completely.

OBAMA: The reality — which, again, many Muslim leaders have spoken to — is that there's a strain of thought that doesn't embrace ISIL's tactics, doesn't embrace violence, but does buy into the notion that the Muslim world has suffered historical grievances — sometimes that's accurate — does buy into the belief that so many of the ills in the Middle East flow from a history of colonialism or conspiracy; does buy into the idea that Islam is incompatible with modernity or tolerance, or that it's been polluted by Western values.

SPENCER: So you're saying that some Muslims have legitimate grievances against the West. This is a signal that more concessions, probably in the form of more taxpayer billions, will soon be in the offing. When jihad terror rages more virulently than ever after those billions have been squandered, will you think of another excuse?

OBAMA: So just as leaders like myself reject the notion that terrorists like ISIL genuinely represent Islam, Muslim leaders need to do more to discredit the notion that our nations are determined to suppress Islam, that there's an inherent clash in civilizations. Everybody has to speak up very clearly that no matter what the grievance, violence against innocents doesn't defend Islam or Muslims, it damages Islam and Muslims.

SPENCER: Islamic jihadists don't generally consider non-Muslims capable of being "innocent" — they are guilty by virtue of having rejected Islam....

At this moment I was unfortunately called out by a person on business from Porlock, and detained by him above an hour, and on my return to my room, found, to my no small surprise and mortification, that though I still retained some vague and dim recollection of the general purport of my meeting with Obama, yet, with the exception of the lines above, all the rest had passed away like the images on the surface of a stream into which a stone has been cast, but, alas, without the after-restoration of the latter!

** Just in case it isn't obvious to everyone, this is an imaginary dialogue. Obama's remarks, however, are real: they're taken from his closing speech at his Summit on Countering Violent Extremism, as reproduced at White House.gov on February 18.*

Read the rest here.

Universally Challenged

Hosted by Christopher Hitchens

Hitch: This week's teams are One True God and Abe's Army, with team captains Moe and Abraham. OK, question one. What causes earthquakes?

BUZZZ One True God Moe: Jews.

Hitch: OK, question 2. What caused the nuclear disaster at the Fukushima power plant in 2011?

BUZZZ One True God Moe: Jews.

Hitch: Question 3, what is the most common cause of war throughout human history?

BUZZZ One True God Tomeh: Jews.

Hitch: What is the root cause of the conflict in the Middle East?



BUZZZ Abe's Army Netanyahu: Muslims.

Hitch: What is the most compelling evidence that proves evolution?



BUZZZ One True God Obama: Jews. Allah tuned them into pigs and apes, but they have evolved into the genocidal terrorists we see before us today.

Hitch: Final question, what is traditionally given as a gift to women on Valentines Day?



BUZZZ One True God Aisha: A beating?

Universally Challenged

Hosted by Christopher Hitchens

Mark Sparrow is a writer, journalist, photographer and broadcaster. Presenter of Channel 4's Dispatches: 'The Truth About Hospital Food'. Mark uses Twitter to debate and discuss issues at @markgsparrow.

© Mark Sparrow 2015

Sausages are off the menu in north London schools.

There's a pig-shaped elephant in the room following a report this week that the trendy Borough of Islington in north London has decided to stop serving pork in all of its 46 primary schools.

I'm rather partial to an elephant in the room so with this in mind I decided to find out what was going after reading about the ban in the London Evening Standard. At this point I should say that the council emphatically denies that pork has actually been banned from its schools. Instead it says that pork is simply 'no longer on the menu'. That sounds like a ban to me but bureaucrats are masters of semantics... if not transparency.

Perhaps the council had hoped its decision to shun pork would pass unnoticed as sausages were probably still served to children, but presumably made from beef or turkey instead of pork. I decided to tweet about the ban and was soon contacted by Islington councillor Raphael Andrews who accused me of telling 'porky pies'. The recently elected councillor wouldn't tell me which of my statements was untrue but insisted that I visit the council's website to discover 'the truth'.

So I did visit the council's website and the truth, according to Islington, is that the council has asked its catering contractors to remove sausages (and any other meals that may contain pork or pork) derivatives from menus offered to all children in its primary schools. However, the council insists it hasn't banned anything and that if any school really were to develop a hankering for the occasional pork sausage it would be 'willing to work with the school' to see how it could make that happen.

My initial reaction was that this was probably a money-saving exercise as there must be a fair few Muslim and Jewish children living in north London and that would mean that on the days pork was served it would be essential to provide a substitute halal or kosher meat as well as a 'healthy choice' and a vegetarian option. According to Islington's own census report, some 10% of the borough is Muslim and just 1% is Jewish. Conceivably 11% of pupils are possibly unable to eat pork and to this figure we should also add vegetarians and vegans.

The education chiefs in the Borough are concerned that Muslim or Jewish children may inadvertently eat pork because they are thought to be too young to fully understand the dietary restrictions of the faith that their parents have chosen for them. There have been a few incidents where children who are forbidden from eating pork have accidentally chosen a dish that contained pork, but as far as I know none of them died. However, it was enough to upset their parents and in fairness it is asking a lot of schools to police what every child chooses for his or her lunch. Interestingly, though, the same could probably be said for children with gluten intolerance or a nut allergy. The implications of eating gluten or nuts can potentially be life threatening whereas eating pork is unlikely to kill you.

If the council's logic were to be followed through to its logical conclusion then gluten, dairy products and nuts should probably be banned from all schools, along with any other allergens that are likely to harm children. Ironically that ban should probably include non-pork sausages that may contain gluten, or even nut dishes served to vegetarians.

With so many restrictions on diets for both cultural and health reasons it must be difficult to keep tabs on what every child is eating, although it's technically possible to put a flag or marker on the smart card that many children use to pay for their lunch which could alert staff to a potential issue. That could be more difficult now that Nick Clegg has decreed the majority of primary school pupils should receive free lunches.

The council's decision probably makes commercial and legal sense by removing the potential risk of accidental pork consumption. In these litigious times, who could blame the council for that? Children don't need to eat pork but the council should have handled things better. It should have been more open about its reasons for pulling pork from its menus. Instead rumour was allowed to propagate and many put two and two together and came up with four.

get resentful when they feel that minority groups are dictating what the majority can do or eat.

The Great Islington Sausage Furore reminded me of a tale from Portugal back in the time of the Inquisition when Jews were forced to convert to Christianity. The whole of Iberia has always had a pretty big love affair with pigs and it would have been quite difficult for Jews to avoid eating pork and still give the impression they were good converts. To get around this the Jews from the remote Trás-os-Montes region of Portugal started to make sausages called Alheira, which were made of chicken and garlic instead of pork. The Jews passed-off their pork-free treat as the real thing and they were so delicious the whole country developed a taste for the smoky Alheira while everyone assumed they were still eating pork.

It seems that pork has always been a troublesome meat.

Draw Mohammed Day

May 20th 2015

Our first entry in the Vive Charlie Draw Mohammed competition, not surprisingly, comes from an artist that wishes to remain anonymous. He/she has incorporated the Lars Vilks drawing of Mohammed depicted as a stray dog and added his own Mohammed dog. We invite you to submit your depictions of Mohammed to vivecharlie@mail.com. Each week we will publish our favourite, which will go into our final, from which a winner will be selected on or around the 20th of May. The winner will be invited to email us a photo and we will transform you into a cartoon character and feature you in an issue of Vive Charlie.

The ISIS HR department are working overtime on their recruitment drive.

A nice poster for the girls and a video for the boys.

Come on idiots join up today!!!

BURKAPAPA







by @noisykafir

ISIS launch a new world class healthy living initiative

Well who would have thought that ISIS would deliver such an initiative The rules seem pretty simple, if you live an unhealthy lifestyle they'll burn you alive in a cage, but if you are uber healthy they'll chop you up into pieces and sell you on the black market!!!

CNN Video Report:

Mohamed Alhakim, the Iraqi Ambassador to the UN states that ISIS is harvesting human organs to be sold on the black market in Europe for profit. He also claims that ISIS has murdered over a dozen doctors in Mosul that refused to carry out operations on their victims.

@noisykafir

ORGANS4U

HEARTS

HEARTS

HEARTS

HEARTS

لا اله الا الله

الله رسول محمد

الخلافة الإسلامية

DR KHAN

DR ALI

ISIS

AWWW ISIS, THEY'RE ALL HEART, DON'T KNOW WHY THEY GET SUCH BAD PRESS.

FREE RAIF BADAWI

Raif Badawi was arrested in 2012 on a charge of insulting Islam through electronic channels and brought to court on several charges including apostasy. He was sentenced to 10 years in prison and 1,000 lashes for setting up a website that championed free speech in Saudi Arabia. His blog, the Saudi Free Liberals Forum, was shut down after his arrest in 2012.

The first 50 were administered on January 9, 2015. The next four sessions were postponed due to Badawi's poor health. However, the most recent session has been postponed, not on medical grounds, but due to the global outrage and pressure.

Amnesty International has a petition with over a million signatures already added. We encourage all our readers to sign this petition by clicking HERE

Aseem Trivedi is an independent cartoonist and free speech activist from New Delhi, India. He is creating a cartoon for each of the 50 lashes Raif Badawi has received. Above is number 7 in his series of 50. Vive Charlie will be featuring his cartoons each week so please follow him on twitter.
@aseem_trivedi

Videos of the week

Pat Condell - A cure for Islamophobia

Pat was so concerned that he had a serious medical condition, he visited his doctor....

Man receives deliverance live on TV

Is this actual proof that God exists? And if he does, is he a homophobe that thinks being gay is a disease to be cured?

The Bearded Muppet of Berlin

Apparantly Muslim men cannot be expected to control their sexual urges and need full time care, due to their mental disability - Islam.

Muslamic Whispers







@causticbob has a wonderful habit of offending everyone.

Whether you're black, white, yellow or brown. Male, female, gay, left wing, right wing, racist or stupid; You're a target.....

Why Radical Islam?

The problem of the rise of radical Islamists is very much in the news. The most widely accepted theory of the cause of the hatred and violence is "Penis Envy".

Experts point to several studies that all agree that Middle-Eastern men have the smallest penises. Even smaller than Asians. Evidence studied from the time of Muhammad leads religious scholars to believe he was small, even by Middle-Eastern standards. These experts also agree that an influx of Western males during the time of Muhammad only served to increase the feelings of genital inadequacy prevalent with Middle-Eastern men.

What experts can't agree upon is why the Quran promotes such intense violence. Well, a recent archeological discovery is shedding light on that. A scroll was found in a cave in the Arabian Desert. It was carbon dated to the time of Muhammad and handwriting analysis proves that it was written by the prophet himself. Notable Islamic scholars have declared this to be a lost ayah (verse) of the holy book of Islam. After years of analysis, linguists have finally translated it into English. Finally, here is the text of the lost ayah of the Prophet Muhammad:

595 AD.
It's my wedding night and Khadija was looking FINE! I got her onto her knees and elbows, positioned myself behind her to take her maidenhead. I thought there would be some resistance. I thought she would feel pain. But all she said was, "Is it in yet? What if we try this new western position called 'missionary'? I might feel something." Bitch! I kicked her skanky ass out of the tent.

619 AD.
It's the night of my second wedding. I was sure things would go much better with Sawda. She was waiting for me, naked on my bed and I undressed. She started laughing, pointed and said, "Just who do you think you're going to satisfy with that?!?!" Can I not find a woman who has not been spoiled by these western dogs?

619 AD:
I met this uber hot six year old. Her name is Aisha. I bought her from her father for a goat. And a sickly one at that. I know she won't be deflowered. I married her the next day.

620 AD:
My grooming of Aisha continues. She now knows that in return for favours she will need to please me. She's almost ready.

621 AD:
Tonight was supposed to be the greatest night of my life. I was going to fuck a girl who would be impressed with my penis. Aisha was undressed, her smooth body ready for me and I undressed. Aisha started smiling. I was happy. She's unspoiled and all mine.

"What are you smiling at, little Aisha?"

"Muhammad, I am smiling at your pee pee."

"Aisha darling, you should use the proper term for this. It's called a cock."

"My husband, that's a pee pee. A cock is twelve inches long and black."

"DEATH TO ALL INFIDELS."

The scroll ends there but it gives us clear evidence as to the origins of Islamic anger. On a side note, another piece of scroll was found in the same area and sheds light on another common Islamic practice. It read: "GOATS NEVER JUDGE."

SPEECH WARS

Episode three. The dhimmi droids and the evil Emperor Murdoch's plans have escaped the clutches of Emperor Murdoch and are safely on their way to the Secular Alliance on Earth. Meanwhile, the Emperor's attention is focused on stopping the spread on blasphemy laws throughout the galaxy, to preserve his evil media empire.

Moe is preparing to embark on his galactic jihad with his Jihadi Knights and is heading to planet Hebdo where the Emperor has laid a trap and lies in wait.


Get Owned Jones oiled up and ready to take down to planet Hebdo once we're in orbit
Don't put makeup on him though, you know how these sicko's like to do that themselves
Is our welcoming party ready for Moe and his Jihadi Knights?
I've had a picture of Moe tattooed on his ass so every time he rapes him he'll see his own face
Yes Master. I've also got his certificate of virginity ready, Moe insisted on it when he bought him on Jihad-e-bay
Yes Master, our long range scans confirm he has left earth on his half moon and will be here soon


Is that Owned Jones my virgin kafir sex slave?
I'm going to encase him in carbonite to keep him fresh for my Bacha Bazi party
It sure is and I've got his certification to prove he's still a virgin

I've got my prize and that city is full of defenceless men women and children. Perfect targets for my brave Jihadi Knights to claim their war booty
QUR'AN


My brave Jihadi Knights, I have collected my package and the city is full of war booty sex slaves as promised by Allan, so fill your boots lads
Oh no, he's armed with blasphemous cartoons and Muslamic kryptonite missiles. Run away, run away
The cowards will only face unarmed men, women and children. Commence bombing on their half moon battle cruiser



Round one of the galactic jihad goes to the Evil Emperor. Next week in Speech Wars, the Secular Alliance will attempt to expose the Evil Emperor as a facilitator of the even darker side through independent news channels and social media. Will the Emperor buy up shares in these organisations to stop them? Will the even darker side threaten the powers that be with oil price increases and watch them shrink like salted slugs?

Find out next week in Speech Wars episode four.

The World-Wide Problem of The Greens; The Australian Chapter.

By @StaunchA

It has to be said that The Australian Greens simply love all things Muslim; so much in fact, that their animal welfare policy contradicts their multicultural policy. There's nothing the Greens won't do for the Muslims, to the point where senators have taken on a distinctly anti Semitic tone of late. One senator has gone so far as to completely reverse the definition of terrorism so that it is now the opposite of that set out in Australia's criminal code. I won't bore you with biographies of the senators in question. All I ask is that for the select few topics I raise keep in mind that these lunatics actually have a vote in our parliament. The only mentioned Green, who does not hold a seat, is none other than Rachel Jacobs, the woman who told the lie which sparked #Illridewithyou. If I believed in God, I'd be shouting, 'Praise the Lord for that!' right about now. But since this issue has raised her profile substantially, I hope I haven't spoken too soon.

In their efforts to be a party of opposition, The Greens are fast becoming a party of negativity. They oppose everything Australian and their policies are so fettered with contradiction that the cognitive dissonance must be heart wrenching. So, we are expected not to blink an eyelid when MP Ellen Sandell tweets in the morning she is saving the ducks from the redneck shooters and then tweets that she's celebrating cruel animal slaughter in the afternoon (Eid). At the same time we can hear the Greens shrieking, 'Ban live animal exports'. How do they sleep at night?

In between whipping up anti-Semitic sentiment and vituperative pro-jihadi rage, Senator Milne asserts that we have 'invaded' Iraq with no stated military plan. I gather she would prefer it publicized so Murdoch can splash it on the front page of every newspaper from here to Timbuktu. No mention of the Iraqi President's desperate plea for help. From the Greens' perspective, there is no difference between this engagement and the 2003 one, in search of WMDs. They argue that this action and counter-terrorism laws simply 'fan the flames of fear' and make us more unsafe in Australia.

No doubt the game has become a little tit-for-tat to some extent. And the Islamic Revolution was surely a rejection of Western ideals. But if we are to sink to the level of 'who started it' then we need to trace back to our first terrorist attack in 1915 – long before the ANZUS treaty. Still, the Greens like to blame the US for all our woes. To say that we bring these attacks on ourselves shows an ignorance of history and draws a rather long bow. Strictly chronologically speaking, the first Muslim terrorist attack in the US was in 1920, but hey, maybe it's as Maxwell Smart says, 'It's the old following from in-front trick'.

The Greens' policy document says we should embrace cultural diversity, which sounds just dandy when taken on face value. But when you examine how this appeal to tradition works in practice you see a political party which is ignoring children being sent to the Middle East to marry, turning a blind eye to backyard FGM and stirring up real hatred between Muslims and Jews in our country. Essentially the Greens are teaming up with the Muslims, aided by a common anti-US and anti capitalist stance, and hypocrisy is rife amongst them. Senator Hanson-Young wrote on her blog that she was 'disgusted' and 'stunned' at the 'extremist' conservatives for finding themselves addressing a rally in front of a sign saying 'Bob Brown's bitch' but sees no problem in her and senator Rhiannon addressing rallies with signs emblazoned with slogans such as 'Jews haven't learn (sic) they need (swastika) more than ever before'.

Similarly, their calls to 'boycott Israel' are equally ignorant because a blanket boycott of Israel inevitably would have a flow-on effect for Palestine

since they are reliant on Israel for power, school funding and some patient care. And in their blind support, Hamas is never mentioned. Israel are the only terrorists. And where do they choose to hold such demonstrations? Not the sensible option – the Israeli embassy – but a Jewish chocolate shop! Oh, well what else can you expect from a party in full support of the Gaza Ark which transgressed the Israel blockade fully laden with none other than international human shields? I think they were genuinely surprised when Israel attacked it.

This denial of terrorist attacks as Islamic and denial that a single Muslim terrorist exists in Australia is demonstrated by a complete wall of silence regarding the Sydney siege perpetrator.

It's as though Monis didn't exist and similar foiled plots didn't happen. And perhaps they should button their lips about Monis since he was a refugee and the Greens are in strong support of an endless stream of unverifiable Muslims who have been showing up in any manner of rickety old boat since the 1970s. Prior to this, multiculturalism was just getting on its feet, now we find ourselves in a situation where we simply cannot tolerate Islamic practice because of its habit of treading on children's/animals'/women's rights. And they simply won't tolerate our culture, begging the question of why they wish to come here in the first place. But the naiveté doesn't stop there. When you hear the protestations of humanitarian aid to the Yazidi, and the complete failure to recognize or even mention our terror threats, you get the impression that the Green solution would be to send a team of social workers to ISIS for a spot of counseling and we would all be holding hands and singing Kumbaya by the end of the day.

So to stave off the cognitive dissonance the Greens decided to reinvent the criminal code. Terrorist is no longer defined as 'act or threat intended to advance a political, ideological or religious cause…' Nope! Now, according to senator Wish-Wilson, terrorism is anything which creates terror – except the Muslims of course, that goes without saying. The definition includes '…any soldier in any country can be seen asa terrorist by their enemy, and the word "terrorism" is a word we should consider not using…' I don't think he has ever been to Iraq, but it's hard to tell since it sounds distinctly like he has picked up that definition from the streets of Mosul.

Then there are the conspiracy theories! Conspiracy theories abound in Green rhetoric. Last September the AFP conducted raids across 3 states. According to Senator Waters, the purpose of these raids was not to avert terrorist attacks. It was to 'soften up' the public for the impending legislation to broaden surveillance powers. The fact that 11 people have been charged over these raids does not seem to register in the collective Green brain. And we shouldn't worry about saving lives, we should wait for them 'to commit a crime and then arrest them.' Do I need to explain the implications of such a ludicrous assertion? I wonder if she applies the same reasoning to paedophile rings.

And last but not least it was, of course, a green who initiated the lie that sparked #Illridewithyou. Most of you are familiar with the story but it needs to be reiterated that a) the Muslim on the train has never been found. b) Rachel Jacobs updated her status on facebook after a mere glimpse of a woman in hijab. Then, perhaps she had a pang of conscience and tried to enact the lie she had just told. Suffice to say, by her own admission, she did not sit with the woman on the train, has no idea why she took her hijab off and admits they had a 'wordless conversation'. So she did not in fact say, 'I'll ride with you'. Personally, I don't believe the Muslim woman exists.


THESE TRANSGENDER FREAKS WILL ANNIHILATE THE WORLD.
transatomic
@noisykafir

GINGER JIHADIS: WHY REDHEADS ARE ATTRACTED TO RADICAL ISLAM

We came across this great story on Briebart.com by MILO YIANNOPOULOS and JEREMY WILSON. They sampled national newspaper coverage of white converts to radical Islam published between 5 August 2013 and 4 August 2014. They discovered that 76 per cent of white British converts to radical Islam had red hair. In the Daily Mail archives, 69 per cent of white Brits lured into jihadism or the orbit of an extremist preacher were ginger. The number was similar for the Mirror and the Telegraph. The Guardian yielded a full 100 per cent redhead rate for the stories sampled. Read the full article HERE



BACKSTABBERS OF THE MIDDLE EAST UNITE

Whilst leaders in the Middle East are always stabbing each other in the back, there is one topic they can all agree on, Israel. United in their hatred of Israel, the only debate, who gets to wipe them out first?



Fatwa of the week with Imam Tomeh

Phallic Fruit & Veg

A fatwa banning women from touching bananas and cucumbers....

The religious directive forbids women from handling bananas or cucumbers, because their phallic shape may "make them think of sex," according to The Times of India.

"If women wish to eat these food items, a third party, preferably a male related to them such as their a father or husband, should cut the items into small pieces and serve," the cleric dictated.

Carrots and zucchinis pose a similar threat, according to the Muslim decree.

BURKAS
for goalposts

Timmy nice but Dhimmi



Timmy nice but Dhimmi

JIHADI JOHN

This is a message to Hollywood. We are executing this kafir as a penalty for the last Batman Movie. It really sucked ass so we are doing this to prevent a sequel with him

JIHAD-E-BAY

DAMAGED GOODS SECTION

Fatima is a wonderful lady, she cooks, cleans, and puts out as commanded by Allah. N.B. Fatima insists on a different wig each day, but it's nothing a burka won't sort.

Aisha has a great personality and sense of humour. She is a little adverse to mobile phones after having her face burned off for using one in the streets of Pakistan.

Ahmenia makes the best halal bacon sandwiches this side of Saudi Arabia and is a professional ass model on the Hollywood scene. Looks great in hot pants, in the privacy of your own slave cave.

Countdown Clock



UNTIL A VILLAGE IN KENYA GETS ITS IDIOT BACK

Muslamic Knights

Coming in Issue 6 of Vive Charlie

We have **FARIEK** who is a mystic. He can cast illusions, make objects fly and change objects into other objects.

RASEEM has super strength.

BEZ is able to change into any animal "size of a talking ant".

PRINCESS NIDA mistress of disguise and can make herself look like anyone.

PRINCE TURHAN is agile and fast with a magic scimitar that returns like a boomerang.

ZAZUUM the donkey that turns into whirlwind when his tail is pulled.